

UNDISPUTED FACTS

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE AT KARKARDOOMA

State of NCT of Delhi – Prosecution

Vs.

Perk Anand & Duffy Agarwal – Defence

C.C. No. 101 of 2024

1. On 30th June 2024 at 11:45 PM a call was received on Delhi Police helpline number, 100, from one Mrs. Anuradha stating that two men wearing helmet came on a bike and snatched the jewellery from the neck of one Mrs. Anjali at Pandav Nagar in front of Delhi Police Apartments. Both the bikers ran away from the place of incidence while Mrs. Anjali has got severely injured.
2. Pandav Nagar Police Station was immediately informed of the incident and a police team headed by Sub Inspector (SI) Mr. Shyam and Head Constable Mr. Baburao reached the place of incident along with an Ambulance. The crime scene was seized while Mrs. Anjali was taken to the Kukreja hospital as she had a cut on her neck. Forensic science laboratory, team was also called to the crime scene.
3. Blood samples were taken from the crime scene. Photographs of signs of tyres of the bike were also taken from the crime scene. A RUQA on the basis of information given by Mrs. Anuradha was prepared by SI Shyam and an F.I.R. bearing no. 230 of 2024 was registered at Pandav Nagar Police Station at 01:00 PM on 01.07.2024 against unknown persons bearing charges under section 304, 117 & 61 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. SI Shyam was appointed as the Investigating Officer (IO) of the case.
4. Mrs. Anuradha informed that she and Mrs. Anjali were coming back home from marriage ceremony of one Mr. Munna. All of a sudden two men wearing helmet came from in front and snatched, the necklace of Mrs. Anjali. Due to the snatching, Mrs. Anjali received a cut on her neck and the necklace came out. She panicked and immediately dialed 100 and also informed about the incident to the husband of Mrs. Anjali, Mr. Raju.
5. Mr. Raju provided with the receipt of the necklace which stated the amount of the necklace to be Rs. 1.50 Lakhs. The Investigating Officer (IO) also took photographs from the photographer of Mrs. Anjali where she can be seen wearing the necklace at the marriage ceremony of Mr. Munna. The photograph of the jewellery was circulated

to all the jewelers in Delhi NCR with strict instructions to inform the investigating officer as soon as someone comes to sell the jewelry.

6. CCTV camera recordings from the camera installed at the gate of Delhi Police Apartments were also taken by the Investigating Officer (IO) where it can be clearly seen that two men wearing helmet came on a black 150 cc pulsar bike having no number plate and snatched the necklace from Mrs. Anjali. The incident took place at around 11:30 PM on 30.06.2024.
7. The security guard of the Delhi Police Apartment, Mr. Nanaji, also stated the same facts which were duly recorded by the investigating officer. The security guard also informed that these two men were continuously making rounds of the place and were standing there from more than 30 minutes.
8. The police also inquired from Mr. Peter, the juice shop owner next to Delhi Police apartments who informed that these two men drank two glasses of chocolate shake from his shop. He also informed that he saw their faces but does not remember them exactly. However, he assured that if he sees them, he can identify them.
9. Meanwhile, on 05.07.2024 information was received from the hospital that Mrs. Anjali has succumbed to her injuries and has died. Post Mortem Report stated the cause of death to be slitting of throat causing excessive blood loss.
10. Police was not able to gather any information and the matter got huge attention on social media where it was claimed that crime in the national capital has reached new heights as there is no fear of police. Crimes are being committed in front of the Police apartments and Police is not able to grab culprits.
11. On 15.07.2024, the Commissioner of Police of Delhi, Mr. Devi Prasad held a press conference in which he stated that they will catch the culprits before the end of this month either by hook or crook.
12. On 30.07.2024 at around 05:00 PM a call was received on the phone of the investigating officer from a jeweller Mr. Sachin informing that two men have come to sell a necklace which looks similar to the photo that was circulated earlier.
13. The IO alongwith Mr. Baburao immediately reached the shop of Mr. Sachin situated in Karol Bagh and arrested the two accused alongwith the necklace at around 05:20 PM. The Pulsar 150 CC black bike was also recovered from the place jewelry shop.
14. The necklace alongwith the bike was sent to the FSL laboratory. The FSL report came on 07.08.2024 which stated that the necklace was washed from water and it had a few blood stains despite attempts to clean them. However, the FSL report stated that it was

not possible to match the blood. With regard to the bike, the FSL report stated that the photographs of sign of tyres of the bike matched with the tyres of the bike seized.

15. The confession of the two accused was also recorded by the IO on 08.08.2024 and the IO also got it signed by the accused. A Test Identification Parade (TIP) was also conducted in which Mr. Peter identified the two accused. After which a press conference was called by Delhi Police in which the IO brought both the accused and both of them confessed in front of the media that they had committed the crime and they are guilty.
16. However, both of them denied making confession before the Magistrate.
17. On 09.08.2024 a chargesheet was filed by the IO against the two accused having charges under section 103, 304, 117 & 61 of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
18. Post arrest of the two accused, protests were carried out by the family and friends of the two accused stating that the two are being wrongly framed by the police and were in fact nabbed from the house of Duffy Agarwal in Patparganj. The mother of one of the accused, Ms. Shruti Agarwal, also stated that the necklace belonged to her which she had purchased only a few days before and police has taken it from the locker of her house in Patparganj. She further stated that the police arrested the two boys from their residence in Patparganj and not from the jewelry shop in Karol Bagh. She also presented the receipt of the necklace. Brother of Duffy Agarwal, Vithal Agarwal stated that they do not own any bike. Allegations were made that police has framed the two boys owing to the pressure exerted by social media. The local MLA also came out in support of the two boys.
19. Furthermore, girlfriend of Perk Anand, Ms. Perry stated that they both were together on the night of 30.06.2024. They went on a date and had dinner at a restaurant, Sandoz, in Connaught Place and then he dropped her to her home in Chandani Chowk at around 10:30 PM. They went to her home via cab which was booked online.
20. Magistrate committed the case for trial post the submission of the chargesheet.

Documents to be prepared by Participating teams (add any other documents if needed)

1. FIR – Annexure 1
2. Statement of Witnesses – Annexure 2
3. Investigation Report by investigation officer – Annexure 3
4. Panchnama – Annexure 4

5. Charge sheet – Annexure 5 (The chargesheet shall include the FSL Reports, photographs and every other thing as mentioned in the list of exhibits)
6. Seizure Memo – Annexure 6
7. Arrest Memo – Annexure 7

List of Witnesses – A team shall present a maximum of 5 witnesses and a minimum of 3 witnesses.

1. Perk Anand (A1) – Judicial Custody, sent on 10.08.2024
2. Duffy Agarwal (A2) – Judicial Custody, sent on 10.08.2024
3. Mrs. Anuradha (P)
4. Mr. Shyam (investigating officer) (P)
5. Mr. Peter (P)
6. Mr. Sachin (P)
7. Ms. Anjali (FSL expert) (P)
8. Mr. Vithal Agarwal (D)
9. Ms. Perry (D)
10. Mr. Natwarlal (Cab Driver) (D)
11. Mr. Chotu (Waiter) (D)
12. Ms. Vidita (Neighbor of Duffy Agarwal) (D)

List of Exhibits (Also to be prepared by participating teams)

- a. Necklace
- b. Blood samples
- c. Photographs as mentioned in the undisputed facts
- d. CCTV footage
- e. Medical report
- f. Post mortem report
- g. FSL report
- h. Receipts of necklace
- i. Bike
- j. Any other exhibit as mentioned in the undisputed facts.

NOTE: The teams are bound to prepare the case within the parameters of the fact sheet. No Assumption is permitted. The term No Assumption will be subject to the

interpretation made by the organizing committee and the decision of the Organizing Committee in this regard would be final.

